## CITY OF PARKSVILLE

## ADVISORY PLANNING COMMISSION

		ADVISOR I FEARINING CONNINSSION			
MINUTES OF MEETING					
		Date: January 17, 2011			
		Time:	8:00 am		
		Place:	PCTC, The F	PCTC, The Forum	
		Chair:	D. Luke		
Memb	ers Pres	sent:			
X	_	J. S. Baldwin	<u>X</u>	E. Chabot	
	_	D. Luke		K. Paskin	
X	_	L. Taylor	<u>X</u>	R. Thompson	
Others:     G.A. Jackson, Director of Community Planning     S. Harbottle     4 members of the public  Ms. Jackson welcomed everyone to the meeting and advised that this was a very informal meeting that they were not discussing applications today but rather have an update of the Official Community Plan Process.					
1.	Call to Order: The meeting was called to order at 8:04 am.				
2.	Adoption of Minutes:  Moved by R. Thompson Seconded by E. Chabot				
	That the minutes of the meeting of October 7, 2010 be approved. CARRIED /2				

## 3. Official Community Plan Process Update

Ms. Jackson gave a PowerPoint presentation regarding the Official Community Plan Process. There was an OCP warm up quiz with true or false answers respecting the legislation guiding OCP content and a further PowerPoint presentation regarding the planning history in Parksville which provided an historical overview of some key planning matters. The presentations were well received and there was general discussion during and after the presentations on the following topics:

- Green House Gas emissions and whether or not the City would be required to hire [additional] staff to prepare this policy. Ms. Jackson advised that this would be done by the consultant as well as by in house staff.
- Boundary adjustments & San Pariel. The members questioned why San Pariel was not within the municipality's jurisdiction. Elaine Hofer, a member of the public advised that to her recollection, in the early 1970's the residents of San Pariel did not wish to enter the City. She believed it was due to cost/tax increases that the residents did not wish to become part of the City.
- Ms. Jackson advised the members that the population projection is currently stated to be 25,000 as opposed to 40,000 which was what was predicted in the 1994 OCP.
- A member of the public questioned why there is no policy in place to link sidewalks that go nowhere. Ms. Jackson responded that this is the type of issue that could and will be brought forward as a policy for the OCP review.

## 4. Adjournment:

Moved by R. Thompson Seconded by J. S. Baldwin

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 10:03 am

Chair /sh

I:\Users\Planning\0540-20\APC\2010\Min\_Jan\_17.doc